



Quantified proposal for contribution by Elia to costs incurred by the designated and/or passporting NEMOs in 2022 in Belgium for establishing, amending and operating the single day-ahead and intraday market coupling in accordance with Article 76(2) of the Commission Regulation (EU)2015/1222 of 24 July 2015 establishing a Guideline on Capacity Calculation and Congestion Management

April 1st, 2022

Contents

Whereas.....	4
TITLE 1	9
Article 1 Subject matter and scope.....	9
Article 2 Definitions and interpretation.....	9
Article 3 Cost sharing of costs related to establishing, amending and operating the single day-ahead and intraday market coupling.....	10
Article 4 Principles for the contribution by Elia to costs related to establishing, amending and operating the single day-ahead and intraday market coupling	10
Article 5 Overview of projects and costs eligible for cost sharing and cost contribution	11
Article 6 Conditions for cost sharing and cost contribution.....	11
Article 7.....	12
Quantification of the costs concerned by the present Quantified CCP	12
Article 8 Cost contribution settlement, reporting and reconciliation	12
Article 9 Confidentiality.....	13
Article 10 Implementation date.....	13
Article 11 Language	13
Annex 1: Detailed Quantified cost contribution overview	14
Annex 2 - Explicit approval of the designated and/or passporting NEMOs on the content of the Quantified CCP	16
Annex 3 - Final report to CREG of the actual cost sharing and contribution in 2022 (template) ...	17

THE BELGIAN TRANSMISSION SYSTEM OPERATOR, TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THE FOLLOWING,

Whereas

- (1) Commission Regulation (EU) 2015/1222 established a guideline on capacity allocation and congestion management (hereinafter referred to as the “CACM Regulation”) which entered into force on 14 August 2015.
- (2) The goal of the CACM Regulation is the coordination and harmonization of capacity calculation and allocation in the day-ahead and intraday cross-border markets. It sets requirements for the Transmission System Operators (hereinafter “TSO”) to cooperate on a pan-European level and across bidding zone borders. CACM Regulation helps in achieving a fully integrated electricity market for Europe by setting out the rules that will introduce a single approach to cross-border electricity trading in Europe.
- (3) In accordance with Article 4 of CACM Regulation, the Belgian authorities (hereinafter “Authority”) designated two power exchanges, Nord Pool AS and Epex Spot Belgium NV, in Belgium as Nominated Electricity Market Operator (hereinafter “NEMO”). This decision has been published in the Belgian State Gazette on the 5th of February 2016.
- (4) European Market Coupling Operator A (hereinafter: “EMCO”), as a result of a demerger from Nord Pool AS on November 2018, has retained the NEMO designation for Belgium and all other EU Member States where the original entity Nord Pool AS was designated or passported.
- (5) EPEX SPOT S.E. (hereinafter: “EPEX”) was designated as NEMO in Belgium by a ministerial decree of October 21st 2019.
- (6) According to Recital (15) of CACM Regulation, for efficiency reasons and in order to implement single day-ahead and intraday coupling as soon as possible, single day-ahead and intraday coupling should make use of existing market operators and already implemented solutions where appropriate, without precluding competition from new operators.
- (7) Market coupling is now in place on the Belgian bidding zone borders in the day-ahead timeframe. It is based on implicit capacity allocation and ensures an optimal allocation of cross-zonal capacity through maximization of social welfare.

This optimization is achieved via the Euphemia algorithm as developed under the Price Coupling of Regions project (hereinafter “PCR project”) of European power exchanges. Euphemia performs the economical optimization while taking into account the limitations of cross-border capacities between countries, as defined by the TSOs.

- (8) Regarding the intraday timeframe, a European project called “XBID Market Project” (hereinafter “XBID project”) was launched by power exchanges in cooperation with the TSOs to create an integrated intraday cross-border market, enabling the implementation of the single intraday market coupling solution.

This solution will allow matching orders entered by members of the power exchanges in one country continuously with orders submitted similarly by members in the same or another country according to their price and time of submission, while taking into account the limitations of cross-border capacities between countries (i.e. continuous implicit allocation).

- (9) In addition, for the intraday timeframe a project for the establishment of intraday auctions (hereinafter “IDAs”) has been started in order to implement implicit auctions in the intraday timeframe.
- (10) The XBID and IDA projects are intended for the Single Intraday Coupling (SIDC); therefore, costs related to these 2 subprojects will be found under SIDC costs in the present CCP.
- (11) On 26th of June 2017 all National Regulatory Authorities (hereinafter “NRAs”) approved the proposal submitted by all NEMOs to establish European Market Coupling Operator functions for the single day-ahead and intraday coupling (hereinafter “MCO Plan”) in accordance with Article 7(3) of the CACM Regulation. The MCO Plan confirms the PCR solution and the XBID solution respectively as the basis for the single day-ahead and intraday coupling.
- (12) Article 76(1) of CACM Regulation requires all NEMOs to bear the costs for:
 - a. common, regional and national costs of establishing, updating or further developing the price coupling algorithm and single day-ahead coupling;
 - b. common, regional and national costs of establishing, updating or further developing the continuous trading matching algorithm and single intraday coupling; and
 - c. common, regional and national costs of operating single day-ahead and intraday coupling.
- (13) The costs for establishing, amending and operating the single day-ahead and intraday market must, in accordance with Article 80(2) of CACM Regulation, be broken down into:
 - a. Common costs resulting from coordinated activities of all NEMOs or TSOs participating in the single day-ahead and intraday coupling;
 - b. Regional costs resulting from activities of NEMOs or TSOs cooperating in a region; and
 - c. National costs resulting from activities of NEMOs or TSOs in that Member state.
- (14) Article 75(2) of CACM Regulation establishes that costs referred to in Article 80(2)(a), (b) and (c) should be recovered through NEMO fees, network tariffs or another mechanism determined by the NRA.
- (15) The sharing of common and regional costs for establishing, amending and operating the single day-ahead and intraday market is clarified respectively in Article 80(3) and 80(4) of CACM Regulation:
 - a. Article 80(3) defines the share of common costs that are to be supported by the TSOs and NEMOs of a Member State (hereinafter “Member State Bill” or “Belgian Bill” for the part of costs for Belgium); and
 - b. Article 80(4) defines that regional costs can be shared between NEMOs and TSOs of a Member State in accordance with the sharing key defined under Article 80(3) or can be subject to a regional specific sharing key approved by the relevant NRAs.

-
- (16) Article 76(2) of CACM Regulation allows TSOs, subject to approval by the relevant NRAs, to contribute to the costs incurred by NEMOs under Article 76(1). In such cases, within two months of receiving a forecast from the NEMOs concerned, each TSO shall be entitled to provide a cost contribution proposal to the relevant NRA for approval.
- (17) All NRAs, in their letter of 10th of May 2017 on “NRAs guidance to NEMOs and TSOs for preparing the Yearly Report on cost as referred to Article 80 et seq of the CACM Guideline” and their letter of 10th of July 2017 on “CACM costs” (see Annex 1 and Annex 2) confirmed the following (for what is relevant for 2022 costs) :
- a. competent Regulatory Authorities within each Member State or third country will decide how to split the Member State Bill between TSOs and NEMOs within their jurisdiction; and
 - b. costs for establishing, amending and operating the single day-ahead and intraday coupling should be treated in accordance with CACM provisions as of 14th of February 2017;
- (18) On October 25th, 2019 CREG provided Elia System Operator SA (hereinafter “Elia”) with a new guidance (“Guidance on the sharing of costs incurred for the establishing, amending and operating single day-ahead and intraday coupling”), hereinafter “The CREG guidance” (see Annex 3) on the application of CACM article 76(2). The CREG guidance is valid for a minimum of 2 years as from 1st of January 2020.
- (19) The CREG Guidance defines the procedure to follow by Elia when submitting its proposal for contribution to the costs incurred by designated and/or passporting NEMOs in Belgium for establishing, amending and operating the single day-ahead and intraday market coupling for a given year (hereinafter “Cost contribution proposal” or “CCP”), in accordance with Article 76(2) of CACM Regulation.
- (20) The CREG Guidance specifies the cost categories to which a contribution by Elia pursuant to article 76(2) of CACM Regulation may apply :
- a. The “Belgian Bill” that results from the application of articles 80(3) and 80(4) of the CACM Regulation, calculated through the sharing key defined in the All NRA Guidance of May and June 2017. This category encompasses all joint day-ahead and intraday coupling costs at European (“common”) and regional levels.
 - b. National costs for the day-ahead and intraday coupling
- (21) Further, the CREG Guidance defines the sharing principles for the Belgian Bill and National costs between Elia and designated and/or passporting NEMOs in Belgium:
- a. Common, Regional and National “Joint TSO” costs are allocated integrally to Elia;
 - b. 50% of the Common, Regional and National “Joint TSO-NEMO” costs are allocated to Elia and 50% is allocated to the designated and/or passporting NEMOs in Belgium;
 - c. Common, Regional and National “Joint NEMO” costs are allocated integrally to the designated and/or passporting NEMOs in Belgium; and
 - d. Individual National NEMO costs are integrally borne by the concerned NEMO.

Pursuant to the CREG Guidance, regional costs that are shared on the basis of a Regional sharing key, approved by the NRA, in accordance with Article 80(4) of CACM Regulation are allocated directly to the respective TSOs and NEMOs and are therefore excluded from the Belgian Bill unless otherwise defined in the sharing key.

-
- (22) The CREG Guidance states that in principle no cost contribution by Elia to NEMOs regarding costs for establishing, amending and operating the single day-ahead and intraday coupling, in accordance with Article 76(2) of CACM Regulation shall be approved other than:
- a. The cost contribution by Elia to NEMOs of maximum 50% of their share of the “Joint NEMO” costs of the Belgian Bill; and
 - b. The cost contribution by Elia to NEMOs of maximum 50% of their share of the National Joint NEMO costs.
- (23) The CREG Guidance requires that Elia submits for approval the CCP for year Y in two steps. Firstly, by the end of year Y-1, Elia shall submit a preliminary proposal (hereinafter “Preliminary CCP”) based on cost categories corresponding to the activities carried out by the designated and/or passporting NEMOs in Belgium in year Y in the different market coupling projects teams. The Preliminary CCP shall not include a quantification of the costs. In a second step, Elia shall submit to CREG its Quantified Cost Contribution Proposal (hereinafter “Quantified CCP”), which includes budget costs for all cost categories listed. The Quantified CCP shall reach CREG at the latest 100 days after the Preliminary CCP was submitted.
- (24) CREG will make its decision on the CCP at the latest 6 months following the submission of the Preliminary CCP.
- (25) The CREG guidance requires Elia to declare its actual contribution to costs incurred in year Y in an ad-hoc report to be submitted to CREG at the latest by 1st March of year Y+1.
- (26) In case the CREG Guidance would be amended, Elia, together with designated and/or passporting NEMOs in Belgium, would amend the Cost Contribution Proposal accordingly.
- (27) The Cost Contribution Proposal does not concern costs incurred by Central Counter Parties (CCPs) and Shipping Agents for clearing and settlement in accordance with Article 77 of CACM Regulation. Any contribution from Elia to these costs will be subject to a separate decision of CREG.
- (28) Article 9(9) of the CACM Regulation requires that the expected impact of the Cost Contribution Proposal on the objectives of the CACM Regulation is described. The impact is presented in the next recital
- (29) The Cost Contribution Proposal contributes to - and does not in any way hamper - the achievement of the objectives of Article 3 of the CACM Regulation. The cost contribution principles set forward in the CCP:
- a. ensure a fair and non-discriminatory treatment of TSOs and NEMOs and creates a level playing field in accordance with objectives 3(a) and (i) of CACM Regulation since
 - i. Joint TSO-NEMO costs are split on an equal basis between TSOs and NEMOs; and
 - ii. The level of cost contribution by Elia is set at 50% of Joint NEMOs-only costs for all designated and/or passporting NEMOs in Belgium.
 - b. contribute to the efficient long-term operation and development of the electricity transmission system and electricity sector in the Union since:

-
- i. Clear principles on cost sharing and cost contribution for all involved actors regarding costs related to the establishing, amending and operating of the single day-ahead and intraday coupling will facilitate the further developments; and
 - ii. The cost contribution principles defined in this proposal provides incentives for involved NEMOs and TSOs to pursue the most efficient implementation of the single day-ahead and intraday coupling

(30) On 23 December 2021, the Preliminary cost contribution proposal for the calendar year 2022 was submitted by Elia to the CREG including the principles of the contribution by Elia to costs incurred by designated and/or passporting NEMOs in Belgium for establishing, amending and operating the single day-ahead and intraday coupling, in accordance with article 76(2) of CACM Regulation.

(31) Elia has formally received the explicit approval of the designated and/or passporting NEMOs in Belgium, EMCO and EPEX on the content of this Quantified CCP for the year 2022.

SUBMITS THE FOLLOWING PRELIMINARY COST CONTRIBUTION PROPOSAL FOR APPROVAL TO THE CREG:

TITLE 1

Article 1

Subject matter and scope

1. The present Quantified CCP set out the detailed principles of Elia's contribution, in accordance with Article 76(2) of CACM Regulation and following the principles of the CREG Guidance, to costs incurred by designated and/or passporting NEMOs in Belgium for establishing, amending and operating the single day-ahead and intraday market coupling for calendar year 2022.
2. This Quantified CCP defines how the Belgian Bill (Common (EU) and Regional costs), in accordance with Article 80(3) and (4) of CACM Regulation is shared between Elia and designated and/or passporting NEMOs in Belgium and how to deal with Regional specific sharing keys defined under Article 80(4) of CACM Regulation.
3. This Quantified CCP provides a detailed description of the principles that will be applied for cost contribution by Elia for the calendar year 2022 under Article 76(2) of CACM Regulation. A budgeted amount for cost contribution is established on the basis of estimated costs by designated and/or passporting NEMOs in Belgium.
4. This Quantified CCP has been drafted based on data provided by the designated and/or passporting NEMOs in Belgium and drafted in close cooperation with them. The e-mails received from EPEX and EMCO with their respective explicit approval on the present Preliminary CCP are included in Annex 9.
5. This Quantified CCP does not concern costs incurred by Central Counter Parties (CCPs) and Shipping Agents for the clearing and settlement in accordance with Article 77 of CACM Regulation. Any contribution from Elia to these costs will be subject to a separate decision of CREG.
6. The Cost Contribution Proposal establishes clear principles for the settlement, reporting and ex-post reconciliation of the cost contribution by Elia for the calendar year 2022.

Article 2

Definitions and interpretation

1. In this Quantified CCP, unless the context requires otherwise:
 - a) the singular indicates the plural and vice versa;
 - b) the table of contents, headings and examples are inserted for convenience only and do not affect the interpretation of this Quantified CCP;
 - c) any reference to legislation, regulations, directive, order, instrument, code or any other enactment shall include any modification, extension or re-enactment of it then in force.
2. In this Quantified CCP, any references to designated and/or passporting NEMOs are to be understood as covering the current designated and/or passporting legal entities as well as their respective legal successors.

Article 3

Cost sharing of costs related to establishing, amending and operating the single day-ahead and intraday market coupling

1. For the purpose of this Quantified CCP, the costs for establishing, amending and operating the single day-ahead and intraday coupling shall be broken down in following categories:
 - a. Day-ahead and Intraday;
 - b. Common (EU), Regional and National;
 - c. Development (establishing), Amendment (updating) and Operation (operating); and
 - d. Joint TSOs, Joint TSO-NEMO and Joint NEMO costs.

Individual NEMO costs will be reported as a separate category of costs at national level.

2. The Belgian Bill that results from the application of Article 80(3) and Article 80(4) of CACM Regulation and the National costs shall be allocated to Elia, designated and/or passporting NEMOs in the following way:
 - a. Common, Regional and National “Joint TSO” costs are allocated integrally to Elia;
 - b. 50% of the Common, Regional and National “Joint TSO-NEMO” costs are allocated to Elia and 50% is allocated to the designated and/or passporting NEMOs in Belgium;
 - c. Common, Regional and National “Joint NEMO” costs are allocated integrally to the designated and/or passporting NEMOs in Belgium; and
 - d. Individual National NEMO costs are integrally allocated to the concerned NEMO.
3. The resulting share of the Belgian Bill for the year 2020 for designated and/or passporting NEMOs in Belgium will be allocated as follows :
 - a. 10% of the costs shall be allocated to each NEMO
 - b. The remaining part shall be shared based on the traded volumes of each NEMO in Belgium in 2020 in the respective time frame.
4. Regional costs that are shared on the basis of a Regional sharing key in accordance with Article 80(4) of CACM Regulation are allocated directly to the respective TSOs and NEMOs and are therefore excluded from the Belgian Bill unless otherwise defined in the sharing key.
5. The application of the principles of Article 3(1) to 3(3) of this Quantified CCP results in a detailed breakdown of costs that are supported respectively by Elia and designated and/or passporting NEMOs in Belgium (before the application of any cost contribution by Elia in accordance with Article 76(2) of CACM Regulation).

Article 4

Principles for the contribution by Elia to costs related to establishing, amending and operating the single day-ahead and intraday market coupling

1. In accordance with Article 76(2) of CACM Regulation and in line with CREG Guidance, Elia proposes the following contribution to the costs that are allocated to designated and/or passporting NEMOs in Belgium under Article 3 of this Quantified CCP:
 - a. 50% of the Joint NEMO costs of the Belgian Bill;
 - b. 50% of the Joint NEMO costs on National level; and
 - c. Any cost contribution approved by CREG for regional costs that are subject to a regional specific sharing key in accordance with Article 80(4) of CACM Regulation.

Article 5

Overview of projects and costs eligible for cost sharing and cost contribution

1. The following costs are eligible for cost sharing and cost contribution in accordance with Article 3 and 4 of this Quantified CCP:
 - a. Day-ahead specific:
 - i. The Single Day-Ahead Coupling project
 - ii. All NEMO Committee Costs;
 - iii. The CWE Multiple NEMO Regional Market coupling project;
 - iv. Core FB MC Joint Project
 - b. Intraday specific:
 - i. the Single Intraday Coupling project including the costs related to XBID as well as to the Pan-European intraday auctions (IDAs);
 - ii. All NEMO Committee Costs;
 - iii. Core FB MC Joint Project

These costs shall be broken down into the cost categories defined under Article 3(1) of this Quantified CCP. Further details of the subcategories of these costs can be found in Annex 4. Annex 7 and 8 provide the rationale by respectively EPEX and Nord Pool EMCO for Elia's cost contribution to the above costs.

2. Annex 4 provides a detailed breakdown of the relevant cost categories of the respective projects under Article 5(1) into the different categories of Article 3(1). The Belgian Bill is defined on the basis of an estimated sharing key for Belgium.
3. The list of costs under paragraph 3 and 4 of this Article are based on the latest information available when submitting this Quantified CCP and might be subject to changes during 2022.
4. If any new cost category not listed under Article 5(1) and (2) of this Quantified CCP and that qualifies for cost sharing and cost contribution would come up during 2022, Elia would inform CREG as soon as such costs are brought to its attention. Where CREG agrees to include such costs into the CCP, Elia would provide an update of the relevant paragraphs and annexes of the present Quantified CCP.

Article 6

Conditions for cost sharing and cost contribution

1. In order for Joint TSO-NEMO and Joint NEMO costs to be eligible for respectively cost sharing under Article 3 and cost contribution under Article 4 of this Quantified CCP, the following conditions need to be fulfilled:
 - a. the costs result from one of the projects listed under Article 5(1) or—in case of future changes—5(2) or 5(4) of this Quantified CCP;
 - b. the costs have a clear contractual basis (or, where applicable, result from a decision of the relevant governance body of the project);
 - c. the invoiced costs are validated by an official governance body of the respective projects (and proof of underlying validation and a copy of the original invoice is provided);
 - d. costs are auditable and are known and communicated ex-ante to Elia;

-
- e. a detailed description of the costs is provided, including a justification of why costs are subject to cost sharing or cost contribution under this Quantified CCP; and
 - f. costs are subject of the report under Article 80(1) of CACM Regulation.
2. Costs not fulfilling the conditions under paragraph 1 will not be subject to cost sharing or cost contribution under this Quantified CCP.

Article 7

Quantification of the costs concerned by the present Quantified CCP

1. As per recital 22, a quantitative budget estimate of each category of costs listed in the Preliminary CCP established in collaboration with the designated and/or passported NEMOs in Belgium, updated according to Article 5. 3. where required, is provided to CREG by the present Quantified proposal

Article 8

Cost contribution settlement, reporting and reconciliation

1. For 2022, Elia will perform cost contributions to NEMOs on a yearly basis, as soon as CREG's decision is made available to the parties. The NEMOs will send an invoice to Elia on a yearly basis
2. Elia, together with the designated and/or passporting NEMOs in Belgium, will submit a final report to CREG with the actual cost sharing and contribution in 2022 before March 1st 2023, on the basis of the template defined in Annex 3 of this document.
3. Each NEMO will send on a regular basis in the course of 2022 a report to Elia with an overview of the effectively incurred costs of the projects for which a contribution by Elia to the NEMO is foreseen.
4. The designated and/or passporting NEMOs will provide Elia with the required information to perform the reporting of costs under paragraph 2, at latest on the 31st of January 2023.
5. If requested by CREG, Elia and the designated and/or passporting NEMOs shall provide additional information necessary to facilitate the assessment of the costs incurred. In this process, Elia shall consolidate these questions and intervene as intermediary between CREG and the designated and/or passporting NEMOs.
6. The CREG shall make a decision on the report under paragraph 2 within 2 months after submission.
7. An ex-post reconciliation for cost contribution in 2022 will take place to settle the difference between the settled cost contribution under paragraph 1 of this Article (based on budget) and the actual cost contribution (on the basis of actual incurred costs) defined in the approved 2022 yearly report under paragraph 2 of this Article. Where, on the basis of the report approved by CREG, the actual cost contribution on basis of real incurred costs:
 - a. exceeds the already settled budgeted amount under paragraph 1 of this Article, Elia will settle the difference with NEMOs within two months after the approval by CREG of the report (e.g. by invoice of NEMO to Elia);

-
- b. is below the already settled budgeted amount under paragraph 1 of this Article, NEMOs will settle the difference with Elia within two months after the approval by CREG of the report. (e.g. by credit note of NEMO to Elia).
 8. Where CREG rejects parts of the costs of the report under paragraph 2, these costs will be re-settled between Elia and the designated and/or passporting NEMOs in Belgium in accordance with the CREG decision within two months after the said decision by CREG.
 9. Elia reserves the right to suspend future cost contribution to a NEMO in case the respective NEMO does not respect the principles under paragraph 6(b) or 7 of this Article.

Article 9 Confidentiality

1. This report contains sensitive commercial information of designated and/or passporting NEMOs in Belgium.
2. Elia will only use the data provided by the NEMOs for the purpose of this Quantified CCP, the report under Article 8(2) and, where required, to fulfil the process under Article 80(1) of CACM Regulation).

Article 10 Implementation date

1. This Quantified CCP will apply, once approved, with retroactive effect as of 1/1/2022 for any cost contribution by Elia to designated and/or passporting NEMOs in Belgium for costs related to establishing, amending and operating the single day-ahead and intraday market in 2022.

Article 11 Language

1. The present Quantified CCP is written in English and will not be translated.

Annexes

Annex 1: Detailed Quantified cost contribution overview

The table in annex of the present document provides a more detailed overview on the Quantified cost contribution, including:

- details related to the used sharing key for determining the Belgian Bill;
- overview of the costs categories enlisted in Article 5(1) broken down according to Article 3(1) of this Quantified CCP;
- detailed cost subcategories per project to the extent possible.

Please note that the template used to report the cost overview has been adapted with regard to the 2021 Quantified CCP in agreement with CREG. The changes aim at making the table look as similar as possible as the one used for the ex-post cost report in order to make the cost follow-up easier.

Details on the applied cost sharing keys for determining the Belgian Bill:

In order to obtain the Belgian Bill, a sharing key should be applied on the following costs:

- The Common (EU) costs: sharing key defined in accordance with Article 80(3) of CACM and the All NRA's letter of 10th of May 2017 on "NRAs guidance to NEMOs and TSOs for preparing the Yearly Report on cost as referred to Article 80 et seq of the CACM Guideline" and the All NRA's letter of 10th of July 2017 on "CACM costs";
- The Regional costs: either the same sharing key as for Common (EU) costs in accordance with Article 80(3) of CACM Regulation but then applied on the specific regional scope or a regional specific sharing key that defines a cost share for each member state in the Region in accordance with Article 80(4) of CACM Regulation.

For the Common (EU) costs, the sharing key used in this Quantified CCP is based on the latest available information for the Day-Ahead and Intraday timeframe at the moment of the submission.

Elia wants to highlight that these sharing keys are estimates for the purpose of this Quantified CCP since the volume-based elements and the consumption elements are unknown for 2022. For the final cost sharing and cost contribution of the actual Common (EU) and Regional costs, the sharing key that will be agreed between the NEMOs and TSOs, and approved by the NRAs where relevant for 2022, will be applied.

For the CWE Regional market coupling project, the regional sharing key is determined in accordance Article 80(3) of CACM Regulation. In that project, only Joint TSO-NEMO Regional costs are defined.

Costs to be included in the CCP

Day-ahead Common (EU) & Regional Costs

- SDAC Common Costs based on the SDAC 2022 Budget
- CORE & CWE Regional Costs based on the budget of 2022

-
- NEMO Committee Common Costs of which a 50% split is proposed by the NEMOs between Day-Ahead and Intraday, are allocated to the category Establishing/Amending for both Day-Ahead and Intraday.
 - o Nemo Committee costs can only be subject to sharing and contribution if they are joint NEMO costs (eg. PMO services, convener costs). Costs of individual NEMOs (e.g. for participation to meetings, travel costs) will not be subject to the principles of sharing and contribution of Article 3 and 4 (treated as Individual National NEMO costs).
 - o These costs will have to be justified by the invoices of the corresponding Joint NEMO costs;

Intraday Common (EU) Costs

- SIDC Common Costs, based on the 2022 budget; and
- NEMO Committee Common Costs of which a 50% split is proposed by the NEMOs between Day-Ahead and Intraday, are allocated to the category Establishing/Amending for both Day-Ahead and Intraday.
 - o Nemo Committee costs can only be subject to sharing and contribution if they are joint NEMO costs (eg. PMO services, convener costs). Costs of individual NEMOs (e.g. for participation to meetings, travel costs) will not be subject to the principles of sharing and contribution of Article 3 and 4 (treated as Individual National NEMO costs).
 - o These costs will have to be justified by the invoices of the corresponding Joint NEMO costs.

National Costs

When submitting this proposal, there were no National Costs on which the cost sharing and cost contribution principles of Article 3 and Article 4 are to be applied.

